

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,470	03/15/2004	Xu Zhu	DB001092-001	3185
24122	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
THORP REED & ARMSTRONG, LLP			ANYA, IGWE U	
ONE OXFORD CENTRE 301 GRANT STREET, 14TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	H, PA 15219-1425		2891	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/800,470	ZHU ET AL.	(pm		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Igwe U. Anya	2891			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this con O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Au	jaust 2005.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
		by the Examiner			
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			R 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Should hoop to solved		•		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		an No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			tago		
application from the International Bureau			lage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.			
		-			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		· - - /		

Application/Control Number: 10/800,470 Page 2

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 4 and 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tu (US Patent 6602427).
- 3. Tu teaches a process, comprising:

performing certain process steps from the top side of a substrate carrying a plurality of devices, at least certain of the devices having a micro-machined mesh (figs. 4-10);

attaching a carrier wafer (fig. 11 element 427) to the top of the substrate, and reducing the thickness of the substrate (col. 8 lines 25 – 41);

continuing the process of fabricating the plurality of devices from the backside of the substrate (col. 8 line 42 - col. 9 line 4); and

releasing the micro-machined meshes (col. 9 lines 5 - 11);

wherein said performing includes forming and patterning a layer of resist (col. 6 line 60 – col. 7 line 38);

Art Unit: 2891

wherein said performing includes forming a plurality of meshes (col. 7 lines 39 – 43);

wherein said continuing includes forming vent holes (fig. 13 element 432); and wherein the device is a MEMS device (col. 3 lines 5 – 11).

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 7 – 10, and 12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12, 28 of U.S. Patent No. 6936524. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

claim 12 of the co-pending application recites the limitations of claims 7 –10 of the instant application; and

claim 28 of co-pending application recites the limitations of claim 12 of instant application, except for the substrate carrying a plurality of devices (structural limitation in

Art Unit: 2891

a method claim must affect the method in a manipulative sense to be given patentable weight (Ex parte Pfeiffer, 1962 C.D. 408, 1961).

6. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 4, and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,470

Art Unit: 2891

Contact Information

Page 5

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igwe U. Anya whose telephone number is (571) 272-1887. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William B. Baumeister can be reached on (571) 272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Igwe U. Anya Examiner Art Unit 2891

IΑ

October 31, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED